

What jurisdiction type do you represent?			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Federal	<div><div></div></div>	4.2%	1
State	<div><div></div></div>	8.3%	2
County	<div><div></div></div>	37.5%	9
Tribal	<div><div></div></div>	4.2%	1
Public Utility	<div><div></div></div>	4.2%	1
General Public	<div><div></div></div>	25.0%	6
Other (please specify)	<div><div></div></div>	20.8%	5
		answered question	24
		skipped question	0

What County/Tribal Community do you represent or as a private citizen where do you live?			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Blackfeet		0.0%	0
Crow		0.0%	0
Flathead		0.0%	0
Fort Belknap		0.0%	0
Fort Peck		0.0%	0
Northern Cheyenne		0.0%	0
Rocky Boy's		0.0%	0
Beaverhead		0.0%	0
Big Horn		0.0%	0
Blaine		0.0%	0
Broadwater		0.0%	0
Carbon	<div><div></div></div>	8.3%	2
Carter		0.0%	0
Cascade		0.0%	0
Chouteau		0.0%	0

Custer		0.0%	0
Daniels		0.0%	0
Dawson		0.0%	0
Deer Lodge		0.0%	0
Fallon		0.0%	0
Fergus		0.0%	0
Flathead		0.0%	0
Gallatin		0.0%	0
Garfield		0.0%	0
Glacier		0.0%	0
Golden Valley		0.0%	0
Granite		0.0%	0
Hill		0.0%	0
Jefferson		0.0%	0
Judith Basin		0.0%	0
Lake		0.0%	0
Lewis And Clark		0.0%	0
Liberty		0.0%	0
Lincoln		0.0%	0
Madison		0.0%	0
McCone		0.0%	0
Meagher		0.0%	0
Mineral		0.0%	0
Missoula		0.0%	0
Musselshell		8.3%	2
Park		0.0%	0
Petroleum		0.0%	0
Phillips		0.0%	0
Pondera		0.0%	0
Powder River		0.0%	0
Powell		0.0%	0

Prairie		0.0%	0
Ravalli		0.0%	0
Richland		0.0%	0
Roosevelt		0.0%	0
Rosebud		0.0%	0
Sanders		0.0%	0
Sheridan		0.0%	0
Silver Bow		0.0%	0
Stillwater	<div></div>	4.2%	1
Sweet Grass		0.0%	0
Teton		0.0%	0
Toole		0.0%	0
Treasure		0.0%	0
Valley		0.0%	0
Wheatland		0.0%	0
Wibaux		0.0%	0
Yellowstone	<div></div>	79.2%	19
Other		0.0%	0
	answered question		24
	skipped question		0

Have you seen or read the State of Montana Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan and Statewide Hazard Assessment.			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	<div></div>	29.2%	7
No	<div></div>	70.8%	17
	answered question		24
	skipped question		0

How would you rate the overall quality and content of the plan.			
		Response Percent	Response Count
1 - Poor		0.0%	0
2		0.0%	0
3 - Average	<div></div>	33.3%	2
4	<div></div>	50.0%	3
5 - Excellent	<div></div>	16.7%	1
		answered question	6
		skipped question	18

Do you feel the plan accurately portrays natural and man-made hazards in Montana?			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	<div></div>	100.0%	6
No		0.0%	0
		answered question	6
		skipped question	18

What improvements do you think could be made to the plan?		
		Response Count
		4
	answered question	4
	skipped question	20

From the perspective of the jurisdiction that you represent or permanently reside in, how do you perceive the risk to each of the following hazards: Risk is defined as the potential to affect people, environment, economy and property of your jurisdiction. High/Medium/Low for:					
	High	Medium	Low	Rating Average	Response Count
Communicable Disease	19.0% (4)	47.6% (10)	33.3% (7)	2.14	21
Drought	85.7% (18)	9.5% (2)	4.8% (1)	1.19	21
Earthquake	5.0% (1)	35.0% (7)	60.0% (12)	2.55	20
Flooding/Dam Failure	19.0% (4)	52.4% (11)	28.6% (6)	2.10	21
Hazardous Material Incidents	38.1% (8)	57.1% (12)	4.8% (1)	1.67	21
Landslide	4.8% (1)	19.0% (4)	76.2% (16)	2.71	21
Terrorism/Violence	4.8% (1)	33.3% (7)	61.9% (13)	2.57	21
Thunderstorm Wind, Hail, and Tornadoes	61.9% (13)	38.1% (8)	0.0% (0)	1.38	21
Volcanic Eruption	0.0% (0)	9.5% (2)	90.5% (19)	2.90	21
Wildfire	76.2% (16)	19.0% (4)	4.8% (1)	1.29	21
Winter Storms/Avalanche	33.3% (7)	61.9% (13)	4.8% (1)	1.71	21
	answered question				21
	skipped question				3

Please comment on the impact that future development will have on the hazards listed from the perspective of your jurisdiction.			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Communicable Disease	<div></div>	77.8%	7
Drought	<div></div>	77.8%	7
Earthquake	<div></div>	77.8%	7
Flooding/Dam Failure	<div></div>	77.8%	7
Hazardous Material Incidents	<div></div>	77.8%	7
Landslide	<div></div>	66.7%	6
Terrorism/Violence	<div></div>	66.7%	6
Thunderstorm Wind, Hail, and Tornadoes	<div></div>	77.8%	7
Volcanic Eruption	<div></div>	66.7%	6
Wildfire	<div></div>	66.7%	6
Winter Storms/Avalanche	<div></div>	66.7%	6
	answered question		9
	skipped question		15

Please prioritize the following proposed NEW goals for the State Plan Update by order of importance from the perspective of your jurisdiction (1=highest / 10=lowest):											
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average
Maximize the Use of Mitigation Actions that Prevent Losses from All Hazards	46.2% (6)	7.7% (1)	30.8% (4)	7.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.46
Increase State’s Capability to Provide and Assist Locals with Mitigation Opportunities	38.5% (5)	23.1% (3)	23.1% (3)	7.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.46
Reduce the Community Impacts of Wildland and Rangeland Fires	23.1% (3)	53.8% (7)	0.0% (0)	7.7% (1)	7.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	7.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.54
Mitigate the Potential Loss of Life and Property from Flooding (riverine flooding, ice jams, dam failure)	7.7% (1)	15.4% (2)	30.8% (4)	23.1% (3)	7.7% (1)	15.4% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.54
Minimize Economic Impacts of Drought	7.7% (1)	30.8% (4)	23.1% (3)	7.7% (1)	7.7% (1)	7.7% (1)	7.7% (1)	7.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.69
Reduce Impacts from Severe Summer Weather (thunderstorm wind, hail, tornadoes)	0.0% (0)	16.7% (2)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	41.7% (5)	16.7% (2)	0.0% (0)	16.7% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	5.00
Reduce Impacts from Severe Winter Weather (extreme cold, snow, ice)	0.0% (0)	23.1% (3)	0.0% (0)	15.4% (2)	23.1% (3)	30.8% (4)	7.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.62
Reduce Potential Earthquake Losses in Western Montana	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	23.1% (3)	15.4% (2)	0.0% (0)	23.1% (3)	15.4% (2)	7.7% (1)	15.4% (2)	6.77
Reduce Losses from Hazardous Material Incidents	0.0% (0)	23.1% (3)	7.7% (1)	23.1% (3)	23.1% (3)	15.4% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	4.38
Encourage Mitigation of Potentially Devastating but Historically Less Frequent Hazards	0.0% (0)	7.7% (1)	15.4% (2)	7.7% (1)	30.8% (4)	7.7% (1)	7.7% (1)	7.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	15.4% (2)	5.62
	answered question										
	skipped question										

Please indicate any additional Goals you think should be added to the State Plan.		
		Response Count
		2
	answered question	2
	skipped question	22

Goal: Maximize the use of mitigation actions that prevent losses from all hazards.												
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average	
Develop GIS databases of hazard risk maps and state buildings and infrastructure to use in mitigation planning	25.0% (3)	25.0% (3)	16.7% (2)	16.7% (2)	8.3% (1)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.83	
Conduct Level 1 HAZUS-MH analyses for all Montana counties	16.7% (2)	8.3% (1)	33.3% (4)	8.3% (1)	33.3% (4)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.33	
Improve Statewide HAZUS data	16.7% (2)	8.3% (1)	33.3% (4)	16.7% (2)	25.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.25	
Determine GPS locations of all State buildings for detailed, non-public analysis	8.3% (1)	8.3% (1)	33.3% (4)	25.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	8.3% (1)	4.25	
Conduct a non-public hazard assessment that utilizes specific State building locations and infrastructure locations to be used for mitigation actions and homeland security purposes	8.3% (1)	16.7% (2)	25.0% (3)	25.0% (3)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	8.3% (1)	4.00	
Promote earth science education of hazards in schools	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	27.3% (3)	27.3% (3)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	9.1% (1)	5.09	
Conduct a Statewide warning capability assessment	25.0% (3)	25.0% (3)	8.3% (1)	8.3% (1)	16.7% (2)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.33	
Develop a Statewide All-Hazard Emergency Alert System (EAS) plan	25.0% (3)	33.3% (4)	16.7% (2)	8.3% (1)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.83	
Continuously improve hazard assessments and the associated evaluation of vulnerabilities from all hazards.	16.7% (2)	25.0% (3)	41.7% (5)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	16.7% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.08	
Increase the public awareness of hazards	33.3% (4)	33.3% (4)	16.7% (2)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	2.58	
Enable every citizen in Montana to receive critical warning information immediately no matter where he/she is	41.7% (5)	16.7% (2)	25.0% (3)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.50	
Increase readiness for the protection of life and property during an event	33.3% (4)	25.0% (3)	16.7% (2)	16.7% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.67	
	answered question											

	<i>skipped question</i>
--	-------------------------

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.										
										Response Count
										2
	<i>answered question</i>									2
	<i>skipped question</i>									22

Goal: Increase State’s capability to provide and assist locals with mitigation opportunities.											
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average
Continue outreach of mitigation project funding opportunities	25.0% (3)	25.0% (3)	16.7% (2)	16.7% (2)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.00
Provide technical assistance with the environmental review process	9.1% (1)	27.3% (3)	9.1% (1)	27.3% (3)	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.45
Provide technical assistance for project development	16.7% (2)	8.3% (1)	25.0% (3)	25.0% (3)	16.7% (2)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.42
Create an electronic database of completed mitigation projects in Montana	25.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	33.3% (4)	16.7% (2)	8.3% (1)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	4.25
Increase the scope and participation of the State Hazard Mitigation Team	16.7% (2)	8.3% (1)	25.0% (3)	8.3% (1)	16.7% (2)	8.3% (1)	16.7% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.92
Create a private advisory group for mitigation	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	25.0% (3)	16.7% (2)	25.0% (3)	8.3% (1)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	16.7% (2)	5.42
Streamline mitigation standards in state and/or local subdivision regulations	8.3% (1)	16.7% (2)	25.0% (3)	16.7% (2)	16.7% (2)	8.3% (1)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.75
Strengthen state and/or local building codes	16.7% (2)	8.3% (1)	25.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	8.3% (1)	16.7% (2)	16.7% (2)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.33
Require growth policies consider natural and man-made hazard	16.7% (2)	25.0% (3)	33.3% (4)	8.3% (1)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.00
Create a state funded grant program to assist with the 25% match for local governments	33.3% (4)	8.3% (1)	25.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	16.7% (2)	8.3% (1)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.17
Coordinate local plan development	25.0% (3)	16.7% (2)	33.3% (4)	8.3% (1)	8.3% (1)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.83
Provide technical assistance with											

hazard mapping for rural communities without GIS capabilities	25.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	33.3% (4)	16.7% (2)	0.0% (0)	16.7% (2)	0.0% (0)	8.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.58
	answered question										
	skipped question										

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.											
											Response Count
											1
	answered question										1
	skipped question										23

Goal: Mitigate the potential loss of life and property from flooding.												
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average	R
Develop and improve upon model floodplain ordinances for local governments	27.3% (3)	9.1% (1)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	36.4% (4)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.45	
Develop mapping for unmapped flood prone areas	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	27.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	36.4% (4)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.64	
Update floodplain mapping of mapped areas	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	36.4% (4)	0.0% (0)	27.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.45	
Establish a schedule for NFIP map reviews and updates	9.1% (1)	27.3% (3)	27.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.55	
Provide outreach and technical assistance in joining the NFIP Community Rating System for reducing flood insurance premiums	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	27.3% (3)	18.2% (2)	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.36	
Increase the public awareness of flood mitigation	27.3% (3)	18.2% (2)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	27.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	3.36	
Reduce the number of current and future structures in the floodplain	36.4% (4)	9.1% (1)	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.91	
Prevent flooding of structures and infrastructure from inadequate storm drainage and poorly designed irrigation waterways	27.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	36.4% (4)	0.0% (0)	27.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.36	
Provide adequate warning of flooding events	27.3% (3)	9.1% (1)	45.5% (5)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	3.18	

	<i>answered question</i>	
	<i>skipped question</i>	

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.		
		Response Count
		1
	<i>answered question</i>	1
	<i>skipped question</i>	23

Goal: Reduce the community impacts of wildland and rangeland fires.												
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average	R
Reduce fuels in the wildland urban interface	45.5% (5)	0.0% (0)	36.4% (4)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.73	
Reduce hazardous fuels in rangeland areas	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	45.5% (5)	0.0% (0)	27.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.64	
Accurately assess and address the current wildland urban interface problems at the subdivision level	45.5% (5)	18.2% (2)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.55	
Enhance firefighting resources and improve firefighting capabilities	36.4% (4)	27.3% (3)	9.1% (1)	9.1% (1)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.73	
Enhance community awareness of wildfires through education	36.4% (4)	27.3% (3)	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	2.64	
Enhance effectiveness of response and evacuation	27.3% (3)	27.3% (3)	27.3% (3)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.64	
Establish mapping or record keeping practices to support fuel management strategies	27.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	36.4% (4)	9.1% (1)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.27	
Minimize human-caused ignition sources in fire-prone areas	36.4% (4)	27.3% (3)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.55	
Centralize fire history documentation	9.1% (1)	36.4% (4)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	27.3% (3)	9.1% (1)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.73	
Develop a consistent Statewide fire risk assessment system	9.1% (1)	36.4% (4)	18.2% (2)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	3.64	
Encourage sustainable growth in wildland fire hazard areas	27.3% (3)	27.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	3.64	

	<i>answered question</i>	
	<i>skipped question</i>	

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.		
		Response Count
		1
	<i>answered question</i>	1
	<i>skipped question</i>	23

Goal: Reduce potential earthquake losses in Western Montana.											
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average
Goal: Reduce potential earthquake losses in Western Montana.	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	27.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	27.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	18.2% (2)	5.36
Provide greater enforcement of current building codes	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	36.4% (4)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	18.2% (2)	4.45
Develop model seismic building codes	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	54.5% (6)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	18.2% (2)	4.73
Create stronger building standards for critical facilities and structures housing vulnerable populations	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	36.4% (4)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	18.2% (2)	4.45
Require earthquake drills in schools in Western Montana	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	18.2% (2)	4.82
Expand and upgrade earthquake monitoring network and reporting capabilities	10.0% (1)	20.0% (2)	20.0% (2)	0.0% (0)	30.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	10.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	10.0% (1)	4.30
Continue “Earthquake Preparedness Month” outreach activities during the month of October	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	18.2% (2)	27.3% (3)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	18.2% (2)	5.36
Implement non-structural mitigation projects to harden State and community infrastructure from seismic hazards	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	27.3% (3)	18.2% (2)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	18.2% (2)	5.09
Seismically retrofit existing critical facilities and government assets	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	18.2% (2)	4.64
	<i>answered question</i>										

	skipped question	
Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.		
		Response Count
		1
	answered question	1
	skipped question	23

Goal: Minimize economic impacts of drought.												
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average	Re
Develop a system for distributing information on current conditions	27.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	27.3% (3)	9.1% (1)	27.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.45	
Continue to support the State Drought Advisory Committee	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	27.3% (3)	9.1% (1)	36.4% (4)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.91	
Install Statewide drought monitoring stations	27.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	27.3% (3)	27.3% (3)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.55	
Use long-term groundwater monitoring to assess drought conditions	27.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	27.3% (3)	18.2% (2)	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.27	
Educate farmers and ranchers in fiscally preventing drought losses	27.3% (3)	9.1% (1)	36.4% (4)	0.0% (0)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.09	
Educate farmers and ranchers in reducing physical losses during dry seasons	27.3% (3)	9.1% (1)	45.5% (5)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.91	
Identify water retention projects that could lessen the effects of drought	27.3% (3)	27.3% (3)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.00	
	answered question											
	skipped question											

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.											
											Response Count
											3
	answered question										3
	skipped question										21

Goal: Reduce impacts from severe winter weather.												
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average	R
Distribute winter driving and survival tips	9.1% (1)	18.2% (2)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	45.5% (5)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.00	
Increase public awareness of winter weather hazards	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	18.2% (2)	18.2% (2)	27.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	3.82	
Create partnerships with utility companies and negotiate for shorten span distances between power poles to better withstand snow loads and severe storms	9.1% (1)	18.2% (2)	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	4.45	
Improve communication between emergency response personnel and road departments to facilitate coordination during extreme weather	27.3% (3)	18.2% (2)	36.4% (4)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	3.27	
Structurally analyze all buildings or rooms identified as shelters and strengthen these as necessary	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	27.3% (3)	18.2% (2)	27.3% (3)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.64	
	answered question											
	skipped question											

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.											
											Response Count
											2
	answered question										2
	skipped question										22

Goal: Reduce impacts from Severe Summer Weather (thunderstorms, wind, hail, tornadoes)												
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average	R
Install safety film on critical facilities to prevent shattering glass.	9.1% (1)	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	18.2% (2)	27.3% (3)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	4.27	
Encourage development and enforcement of wind resistant buildings and construction codes	9.1% (1)	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	18.2% (2)	27.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.18	
Develop and implement programs to keep trees from threatening lives, property and public infrastructure during windstorm events	9.1% (1)	9.1% (1)	18.2% (2)	27.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	18.2% (2)	4.91	
	answered question											
	skipped question											

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.		
		Response Count
		1
	answered question	1
	skipped question	23

Goal: Reduce losses from Hazardous Material Incidents												
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average	R
Develop communication plan for hazardous material emergencies	45.5% (5)	9.1% (1)	27.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	2.73	
Enhance information capability on types of hazardous materials traveling transportation routes	18.2% (2)	18.2% (2)	27.3% (3)	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	3.36	
Provide hazardous material training to emergency responders	27.3% (3)	45.5% (5)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	2.91	
Develop evacuation procedures for homes near transportation networks that commonly carry hazardous materials	36.4% (4)	36.4% (4)	9.1% (1)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	2.64	
	answered question											
	skipped question											

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.		
		Response Count
		1
	answered question	1
	skipped question	23

Goal: Encourage mitigation of potentially devastating but historically less frequent hazards.											
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average
Identify and map areas of greatest landslide and avalanche potential	9.1% (1)	9.1% (1)	9.1% (1)	9.1% (1)	27.3% (3)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	27.3% (3)	5.55
Create a landslide/avalanche technical committee	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	9.1% (1)	9.1% (1)	9.1% (1)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	36.4% (4)	6.91
Support the mitigation related goals, objectives, and actions of the Montana Homeland Security Strategic Plan	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	18.2% (2)	18.2% (2)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	18.2% (2)	5.36
Reduce losses from communicable disease	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	27.3% (3)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	4.27
Increase awareness of risks from communicable disease	18.2% (2)	18.2% (2)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	36.4% (4)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.64
	answered question										
	skipped question										

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.		
		Response Count
		1
	answered question	1
	skipped question	23

Please indicate how long it took you to complete the survey.			
		Response Percent	Response Count
5 minutes		0.0%	0
10 minutes	<div></div>	20.0%	2
15 minutes	<div></div>	30.0%	3
20 minutes	<div></div>	40.0%	4
30 minutes	<div></div>	10.0%	1
Greater than 30 minutes		0.0%	0
	answered question		10
	skipped question		14

DISTRICT 5 ON-LINE SURVEY - OTHER JURISDICTIONS COMPLETING SURVEY

[close window](#)







Displaying 1 - 5 of 5 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. city	Thu, 5/24/07 12:31 PM
 Find	2. American Red Cross	Thu, 5/24/07 10:59 AM
 Find	3. City	Thu, 5/24/07 10:44 AM
 Find	4. City of Billings	Thu, 5/24/07 8:46 AM
 Find	5. municipal	Thu, 5/24/07 6:40 AM
		10 responses per page 

District 5 On-Line Survey - Suggested Improvements to State Plan

[close window](#)






Displaying 1 - 4 of 4 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Mitigations should link to counties by providing state support to them for their mitigation efforts. All disasters are local.	Tue, 6/5/07 8:47 PM
 Find	2. Some hazards identified in specific portions of the state may be over emphasized in terms of the real threat they create in a given jurisdiction. Also, some very specific threats are large in magnitude if they occur, but almost never occur or have a very low probability of occurring. For example, failure of the Yellowtail Dam in Big Horn County, or devastating earthquake in the City of Billings.	Thu, 5/24/07 8:50 AM
 Find	3. None	Thu, 5/24/07 6:38 AM
 Find	4. /	Wed, 5/23/07 3:36 PM
		10 responses per page 

District 5 On-Line Survey - Suggested New Goals

[close window](#)



Displaying 1 - 2 of 2 responses


<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. I think the state plan needs to address emergency preparedness and disaster management for vulnerable populations, like home bound elders, or assist the agencies serving these individuals. Big Sky Senior Services, Inc.(BSSS) serves low-income seniors. We were told by local emergency services providers that they would be unable to assist individuals, should a disaster occur. BSSS in Billings is attempting to assure these individuals' safety, but we are severely hampered by a lack of resources. We have learned from Katrina that disasters are a matter of life or death, especially for vulnerable populations. It is vital to find a way to provide services to keep these individuals safe during a disaster.	Thu, 5/24/07 8:44 AM
 Find	2. None	Thu, 5/24/07 6:42 AM

10 responses per page 

District 5 On-Line Survey - Other Goals 1 Mitigation Projects

[close window](#)




Displaying 1 - 2 of 2 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Ensure seamless communication between all law enforcement and public safety agencies at the local, regional and state level. This should be done immediately as it is often the biggest issue when a disaster strikes and is one of the most basic issues that should be resolved.	Thu, 5/24/07 9:03 AM
 Find	2. None	Thu, 5/24/07 6:46 AM
		10 responses per page 

District 5 On-Line Survey - Other Severe Winter Weather Mitigation Projects

[close window](#)




Displaying 1 - 2 of 2 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Identify and develop evacuation procedures for individuals, who cannot survive during a power outage, such as people on oxygen, ventilators,etc.	Thu, 5/24/07 8:54 AM
 Find	2. None	Thu, 5/24/07 6:54 AM
		10 responses per page 

District 5 On-Line Survey - Other Drought Mitigation Projects

[close window](#)





Displaying 1 - 3 of 3 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Conservation of water use is the single most effective way of handling drought. All municipalities in Monatana should be employing water conservation methods and counties should also have water management programs. No one has a right to use all the water they want. If we conserved water use in MOntana like many other states, we would not have the all of the drought problems we do and we would delay millions of dollars in infrastructure upgrades and new conatruction that is only needed because of high water demands.	Thu, 5/24/07 9:10 AM
 Find	2. Educate individual citizens, especially homeowners, about year-round water conservation. Provide incentives for used water recycling systems and rain water collection systems.	Thu, 5/24/07 8:54 AM
 Find	3. None	Thu, 5/24/07 6:54 AM
		10 responses per page 

District 5 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Communicable Disease Hazard

[close window](#)









Displaying 1 - 7 of 7 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. low impact	Wed, 6/20/07 12:58 PM
 Find	2. All factors increase in importance as population increases	Tue, 6/5/07 8:50 PM
 Find	3. influx of more people will increase the risk.	Tue, 5/29/07 9:20 AM
 Find	4. increasing potential	Fri, 5/25/07 2:05 PM
 Find	5. Larger population, more people could be affected	Thu, 5/24/07 8:55 AM
 Find	6. None	Thu, 5/24/07 6:40 AM
 Find	7. more people-more disease chances	Wed, 5/23/07 3:41 PM
		10 responses per page 

District 5 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Drought Hazard

[close window](#)









Displaying 1 - 7 of 7 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. none	Wed, 6/20/07 12:58 PM
 Find	2. the demand for water will increase with future development.	Tue, 5/29/07 9:20 AM
 Find	3. more fires	Fri, 5/25/07 2:05 PM
 Find	4. More paved areas cause higher temperatures. This creates heat islands near the city and increases the drought potential.	Thu, 5/24/07 9:19 AM
 Find	5. Significant	Thu, 5/24/07 8:55 AM
 Find	6. None	Thu, 5/24/07 6:40 AM
 Find	7. lack of drinking water, ag community distress	Wed, 5/23/07 3:41 PM
		10 responses per page 

District 5 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Earthquake Hazard

[close window](#)









Displaying 1 - 7 of 7 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. none	Wed, 6/20/07 12:58 PM
 Find	2. minimal	Fri, 5/25/07 2:05 PM
 Find	3. A remote possibility, but could cause great destruction. Development would raise the potential for destruction, but should not be a determining factor.	Thu, 5/24/07 9:19 AM
 Find	4. Signifant impact on all development	Thu, 5/24/07 8:55 AM
 Find	5. Widespread damage	Thu, 5/24/07 7:30 AM
 Find	6. Minor	Thu, 5/24/07 6:40 AM
 Find	7. communities(housing and infrastructure) are not build to withstand earthquakes	Wed, 5/23/07 3:41 PM
		10 responses per page 

District 5 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Flooding Hazard

[close window](#)









Displaying 1 - 7 of 7 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. high	Wed, 6/20/07 12:58 PM
 Find	2. increasing potential for problems	Fri, 5/25/07 2:05 PM
 Find	3. More regulation and inspection needed for dams. Flooding is a distinct possibility and our dams should be held to a high standard.	Thu, 5/24/07 9:19 AM
 Find	4. Significant depending on location	Thu, 5/24/07 8:55 AM
 Find	5. Displacement of residents	Thu, 5/24/07 7:30 AM
 Find	6. None	Thu, 5/24/07 6:40 AM
 Find	7. more change of damage as development increases	Wed, 5/23/07 3:41 PM
		10 responses per page 

District 5 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Hazardous Material Incidents









Displaying 1 - 7 of 7 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. high	Wed, 6/20/07 12:58 PM
 Find	2. increasing potential for incidents	Fri, 5/25/07 2:05 PM
 Find	3. Major truck and rail traffic goes through our area. Inspection and regulation is imperative.	Thu, 5/24/07 9:19 AM
 Find	4. Depends on new highway routes and location of new development. Low at this time.	Thu, 5/24/07 8:55 AM
 Find	5. Major disruption	Thu, 5/24/07 7:30 AM
 Find	6. Minor	Thu, 5/24/07 6:40 AM
 Find	7. great potential for problems with rail traffic , refineries, and businesses	Wed, 5/23/07 3:41 PM
		10 responses per page 

District 5 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Landslide Hazard

[close window](#)








Displaying 1 - 6 of 6 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. none	Wed, 6/20/07 12:58 PM
 Find	2. increasing potential for problems	Fri, 5/25/07 2:05 PM
 Find	3. Not common in Yellowstone County, but other areas of the state have great potential for this. CA should serve as an example of why development is not logical in areas prone to landslides.	Thu, 5/24/07 9:19 AM
 Find	4. Minor impact	Thu, 5/24/07 8:55 AM
 Find	5. None	Thu, 5/24/07 6:40 AM
 Find	6. depends on where development occurs	Wed, 5/23/07 3:41 PM
		10 responses per page 

District 5 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Severe Summer Weather Hazards

[close window](#)









Displaying 1 - 7 of 7 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. medium	Wed, 6/20/07 12:58 PM
 Find	2. minimal	Fri, 5/25/07 2:05 PM
 Find	3. These are common. A working warning system is necessary, as well as shelters, etc. Education on steps to protect property and people is needed. A plan to assist in clean-up and recovery needed.	Thu, 5/24/07 9:19 AM
 Find	4. Impact will be significant whether new development occurs or not	Thu, 5/24/07 8:55 AM
 Find	5. Major disruption	Thu, 5/24/07 7:30 AM
 Find	6. None	Thu, 5/24/07 6:40 AM
 Find	7. more development, more potential damage	Wed, 5/23/07 3:41 PM
		10 responses per page 

District 5 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Terrorism Hazard

[close window](#)








Displaying 1 - 6 of 6 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. none	Wed, 6/20/07 12:58 PM
 Find	2. minimal	Fri, 5/25/07 2:05 PM
 Find	3. With three refineries, this is a possibility. Training in security is essential.	Thu, 5/24/07 9:19 AM
 Find	4. Minor to non existent impact	Thu, 5/24/07 8:55 AM
 Find	5. Minor	Thu, 5/24/07 6:40 AM
 Find	6. as the largest city in MT and the rail and pipeline activity, this is a risk	Wed, 5/23/07 3:41 PM
		10 responses per page 

District 5 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Volcanic Eruption Hazard

[close window](#)








Displaying 1 - 6 of 6 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. none	Wed, 6/20/07 12:58 PM
 Find	2. none	Fri, 5/25/07 2:05 PM
 Find	3. Not likely, but plans to assist victims should be in place.	Thu, 5/24/07 9:19 AM
 Find	4. Very unlikely. Potentially catastrophic if Yellowstone caldera were to erupt	Thu, 5/24/07 8:55 AM
 Find	5. None	Thu, 5/24/07 6:40 AM
 Find	6. Low risk, but would be wide spread damage	Wed, 5/23/07 3:41 PM
		10 responses per page 

District 5 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Wildfire Hazard

[close window](#)


Displaying 1 - 6 of 6 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. medium	Wed, 6/20/07 12:58 PM
 Find	2. increasing potential for problems	Fri, 5/25/07 2:05 PM
 Find	3. A major problem in this area. Development in high risk areas is asking for trouble - like Rehberg Estates!	Thu, 5/24/07 9:19 AM
 Find	4. Significant threat as new development encroaches into grasslands and forested areas and water supply is minimal to non-existent	Thu, 5/24/07 8:55 AM
 Find	5. Significant	Thu, 5/24/07 6:40 AM
 Find	6. high risk as people keep building in the wildland urban interface	Wed, 5/23/07 3:41 PM
		10 responses per page 

District 5 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Severe Winter Weather Hazards

[close window](#)







Displaying 1 - 6 of 6 responses

<< Prev

Next >>

Jump To: 1

Go >>

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. medium	Wed, 6/20/07 12:58 PM
 Find	2. minimal	Fri, 5/25/07 2:05 PM
 Find	3. Development brings more people, so plans for mobility are needed. Snow emergency routes, shelters, etc.	Thu, 5/24/07 9:19 AM
 Find	4. Moderate impact except for outlying development that is reliant on vehicle travel to access services	Thu, 5/24/07 8:55 AM
 Find	5. Minor	Thu, 5/24/07 6:40 AM
 Find	6. more people = more problems	Wed, 5/23/07 3:41 PM
		10 responses per page 